arXiv:hep-th/9804171v1 27 Apr 1998

Exact Gravitational Gauge Structures and the Dirac Equation *

G. Lambiase[a,b†] and G.Papini[c,‡]

[a] *Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica e S.M.S.A. Università di Salerno, 84081 Baronissi (Salerno), Italy.*

[b] *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli, Mostra d'Oltremare pad. 19, 80125 Napoli, Italy.*

[c] *Department of Physics, University of Regina, Regina, Sask. S4S 0A2, Canada.*

Abstract

Exact gauge structures arise in the evolution of spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particles in conformally flat space-times. The corresponding Berry potentials can be Abelian or non-Abelian depending on the mass degeneracy of the system considered. Examples include de Sitter universes and maximal acceleration.

PACS: 04.90.+e, 03.65.Bz.
Keywords: Quantum Phase, Berry's Phase, Gravitation.

*Research supported by Ministero dell'Universitá e della Ricerca Scientifica

†Fax: +39-89-965275. E-mail:lambiase@vaxsa.csied.unisa.it

‡Tel: (306) 585-4204. Fax: (306) 585-4894. E-mail: papini@cas.uregina.ca

Quantum phases of the type discussed by Berry [1] arise from the cyclic variation of a system's parameters in parameter space. Thus the gauge potentials that represent a geometrical structure in parameter space, induce a corresponding structure in Hilbert space. Since gravitational fields endow space-time with geometrical structure, the latter should also affect Hilbert space when parameter space and space-time coincide. This was argued in a recent past [2] and geometrical phases of this type were indeed found [3] and used to discuss a variety of gravitational and inertial phenomena from a unified point of view [4]. Though derived using a different procedure than the original one by Berry, these phases are deeply geometrical and adapt themselves more readily to relativistic problems.

In the particular context of the Dirac equation, two geometrical phases were discovered [5]. One of these, exact, records exclusively the history of the particle spin in space-time. The second, exact only to first order in the metric deviation, refers to the space-time development of the particle independently of its spin [3]. Both were more directly identifiable from the point of view of the non-inertial observer, but could still be expressed in covariant form.

The purpose of this work is to present one more exact geometrical phase, still in the context of spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particles, but from the viewpoint of an inertial observer. It refers to conformally flat space-times, which describe universes of constant curvature and play important roles from cosmology to hadronic confinement. The connection between confinement and conformally flat solutions of Einstein equations resides in the properties of the Einstein tensor with cosmological term. Under conformally flat transformations the tensor takes the form of the improved energy-momentum tensor $\Theta_{\alpha\beta}$ of Callan, Coleman and Jackiw [6] whose behaviour determines the local distribution of vacuum energy. It follows, for instance, that singular solutions of the equation $\Theta_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ which yield Abelian instantons, are also solutions of Einstein equations. Similar situations exist for (Abelian) merons and elliptic solutions that interpolate between merons and instantons [7]. The corresponding gravitational fields represent macro-universes, or micro-universes, according to appropriate choices of the constants involved. Micro-universes yield hadron bags [8].

A more unusual conformal field, also relevant to confinement, will be discussed later. It does not correspond to any particular solution of Einstein equations, but to the interesting suggestion that proper accelerations have un upper limit.

In what follows, calculations refer to a gravitational field of metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \sigma^2(x)\eta_{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{1}$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is a Minkowski metric of signature -2, and to the covariant Dirac equation

$$(i\hbar\gamma^\mu(x)\mathcal{D}_\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0\,, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{D} \equiv \nabla_\mu + \Gamma_\mu(x)$. The operator of covariant differentiation is ∇_μ, Γ_μ the spinorial connection and $\gamma^\mu(x)$ are position-dependent matrices that satisfy the anti-commutation relations $\{\gamma^\mu(x), \gamma^\nu(x)\} = 2g_{\mu\nu}(x)$. The geometrical phase can be derived by referring (2) to a set of inertial observers by means of a tetrad field $e_\mu{}^a(x)$, where Latin indices are tetrad indices [9]. Then the total connection is represented by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{ab}\omega_{\mu ab}\,, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\sigma^{ab} = \frac{1}{4}\left[\gamma^a, \gamma^b\right] \tag{4}$$

$$\omega_\mu{}^a{}_b = (\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} e_\lambda{}^a - \partial_\mu e_\nu{}^a)e^\nu{}_b \tag{5}$$

$$\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\alpha}(g_{\alpha\mu,\nu} + g_{\alpha\nu,\mu} - g_{\mu\nu,\alpha}) \tag{6}$$

$$\gamma^\mu(x) = e^\mu{}_a\gamma^a, \quad e^\mu{}_a e_\mu{}^b = \delta_a{}^b\,. \tag{7}$$

The form of (1) determines the tetrad field

$$e_\mu{}^a(x) = \sigma(x)\delta_\mu{}^a\,. \tag{8}$$

Also from (3)-(8) one finds

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu = \sigma^{ab}\eta_{a\mu}(\ln\sigma)_{,b}\,. \tag{9}$$

For the set of inertial observers along the particle worldline, Eq. (2) becomes

$$\left[i\hbar\gamma^a\partial_a + i\frac{3\hbar}{2}\gamma^a(\ln\sigma)_{,a} - m\right]\psi(x) = 0\,, \tag{10}$$

with solution

$$\psi(x) = \exp\left[-\frac{3}{2}\int_{x_0}^{x}(\ln\sigma)_{,a}dx^a\right]\psi_0(x)\,, \tag{11}$$

where $\psi_0(x)$ satisfies the free Dirac equation in Minkowski space. Henceforth all quantities refer to inertial observers and Latin indices are replaced by Greek ones for convenience. The integral

$$\phi = -\frac{3}{2}\int_{x_0}^{x}(\ln\sigma)_{,\mu}dx^\mu = -\frac{3}{2}\int_{s_0}^{s}(\ln\sigma)_{,s}ds\,, \tag{12}$$

carries all the information regarding the gravitational field and represents the desired result. It bears a close resemblance to the Dirac phase factor for electromagnetic fields and the vector

$$B_\mu = i\frac{3\hbar}{2}(\ln\sigma)_{,\mu} \tag{13}$$

is the corresponding potential. Eq. (12) does not in general represent a geometrical phase because extension to a closed path yields a vanishing result whenever $\sigma(x)$ is well behaved. It becomes a true geometrical phase if $\sigma(x)$ vanishes or has singularities. This new phase does not, of course, preclude the existence of other geometrical phases or the use of those already discussed in the literature. Its existence merely underlines how in relativity different approaches may bring out different aspects of a problem.

The result can be extended by using the method of Ref. [2] which is based on the relativistic equation

$$i\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\tau} = H[\lambda_a(\tau)]\Phi\,, \quad a = 1, 2, \ldots, k \tag{14}$$

rather than the usual Schrödinger equation. In Eq. (14) τ is a relativistic invariant parameter that describes the evolution generated by the relativistic operator $H = -(\Box - U(x))$. By restricting the solutions of (14) to those of the type $\Phi = e^{im^2\tau}\phi(x)$, one finds

$$H\phi(\lambda) = -m^2\phi(\lambda)\,, \tag{15}$$

where m is the mass of a particle. The Dirac equation and most other wave equations can be re-cast in the form of Eq. (15). Cyclic evolution of the parameters $\lambda_a(\tau)$ leads to a covariant generalization of Berry's phase

$$\gamma(C) = i\oint < \phi|\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_a}|\phi > d\lambda_a\,, \tag{16}$$

provided the initial pure mass square eigenstate does not change during the evolution. A major point of this generalization is that it is now possible to identify parameter space with ordinary space-time by setting $k = 4$ and $\lambda_a \equiv x_\mu(\tau)$. In particular, Eq. (10) can be re-cast in the form (15) by introducing a new spinor ψ' defined by $\psi \equiv (i\hbar\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + \gamma^\mu B_\mu + m)\psi'$ and (16) then yields (12) as expected. In addition the gauge structures can be generalized to the non-Abelian case when the eigenvalues of Eq. (15) are N-fold degenerate

$$H(\tau)\psi_i^a(\tau) = -m_a^2\psi_i^a(\tau) \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N\,. \tag{17}$$

The $SU(N)$ symmetry of these states then leads to Yang-Mills fields, while the corresponding potentials are given by [2]

$$A^a_{\mu ij} =< \psi^a_j|\frac{\partial\psi^a_i}{\partial x^\mu} > \,. \tag{18}$$

We now return to Eq. (12). When extended to a closed path, the integral may be evaluated by a variety of means. If it can be extended to the complex domain, then one may use the formula

$$\oint(\ln\sigma)_{,z}dz = 2\pi i(N - P)\,, \tag{19}$$

where N and P represent the numbers of zeros and poles od $\sigma(z)$ within the closed path. This information, in fact, characterizes conformally flat fields. In particular, de Sitter space-time solutions are of the form

$$\sigma(x) = \left(\Lambda\frac{x^2 - a^2}{a}\right)^{-1}\,, \tag{20}$$

where Λ is a constant, a_μ a constant vector and $a^2 = a_\mu a^\mu$. It is solution (20) that substituted in (10), provides a time-dependent potential term in which the particle is embedded. Solution (20) is in fact an Abelian instanton in the context of confinement. In this instance the surface $x^2 - a^2 = 0$ is singular and $\sigma(x)$ has poles at the point of intersection of the particle worldline with this surface. The corresponding change in phase along paths through a point of intersection follows from the formula $(\ln\sigma(s))_{,s} = (\ln|\sigma(s)|)_{,s} + i\pi\delta(\sigma(s))\sigma'(s)$ and is $i\pi\gamma$, where γ is the sign function. Though de Sitter spaces are singularity free, it is an interesting consequence of (12) that their singularities

in Minkowski space are physically meaningful. On the other hand, these singularities are removable only in non-inertial frames, in which instance the Dirac equation reverts to its general form (2) with no geometrical phase of the type (12).

Merons, with no singularities in Minkowski space, have no phases of the type under discussion for any closed space-time paths in this space.

A particular interesting, though unusual example of a conformal field is the one introduced by Caianiello and collaborators in connection with the notion of maximal acceleration [10]. Based on the standard interpretation of quantum mechanics and eight-dimensional phase space, this theory implies that a particle of mass m accelerating along its worldline, in the absence of gravity, behaves as if embedded in an effective gravitational field

$$g_{\mu\nu} = (1 - \frac{c^4|\ddot{x}|^2}{A^2})\eta_{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{21}$$

where $A = 2mc^3/\hbar$ is the maximal acceleration of the particle and $|\ddot{x}|^2 \equiv |\eta_{\mu\nu}\ddot{x}^\mu\ddot{x}^\nu|$ is the square length of its acceleration four-vector. Similar results were also found by Papini and Wood [11] starting from an entirely geometrical viewpoint.

Among the various consequences of Caianiello's theory is confinement, the bag being produced by the acceleration of the quarks themselves [12]. The present analysis which refers Eq. (10) in the presence of the field (21) to a set of inertial observers at all points along the classical worldline of the particle, uncovers other features of the model. First, acceleration of systems with degeneracy would also generate non-Abelian potentials given by (18) above. Second, for values of $|\ddot{x}| < A/c^2$ ($\sigma \neq 0$), which result in no poles or zeros being enclosed by C, an effect could still be observed in principle by interferometric means using the phases previously discussed [2]-[5],[13]. Third for values of $\ddot{x}$ such that $c^2|\ddot{x}| = A$, σ vanishes on the particle worldline and the contribution of any of these zeros to the phase is $3\pi/2$ in absolute value. It is doubtful whether this change in phase could be observed by interferometric means, given the drastic nature of the transition through $\sigma = 0$, as indicated below. Alternatively a path may be chosen to link the worldline of the particle along which $\sigma = 0$. This phenomenon is analogous to the occurrence of a magnetic pole in Dirac's theory. The flux of B_μ through any open surface bound by the path is then quantized in units of 3π. Finally, the case of total phase quantization in the presence of

external electromagnetic fields is also interesting. In this instance the total phase must be $2\pi n$. Since the minimum contribution to it from B_μ is 3π, the magnetic flux contained in the world tube must jump by $3\pi c\hbar/e$ at each transition point $\sigma = 0$, when n is constant.

Though accelerations of the order of the maximal acceleration may already be present in electron-positron collisions with the formation of a Z_0 nearly at rest, the condition $\sigma = 0$, if attainable, would have additional interesting consequences. For one, the sign of the effective metric would change and the light cone at the particle would rotate, effectively disconnecting the particle from the outside world.

In conclusion, exact Abelian and non-Abelian gauge structures are generated in the dynamics of spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particles in conformally flat space-times and non-Abelian potentials arise when the particle's mass spectrum is N-fold degenerate. Since space-time, rather than parameter space, is the space where the system's development takes place, the Abelian fields are true space-time dependent fields and the non-Abelian ones are true Yang-Mills fields with $SU(N)$ symmetry. Characteristics of the gauge fields are related to flat space-time zeros or singularities of the conformal factor $\sigma(x)$. These are the points around which phase changes take place and it is around these points that the system organizes the information geometrically.

Acknowledgments

G.P. gladly acknowledges the continued research support of Dr. K. Denford, Dean of Science, University of Regina.

G.L. wishes to thank Dean Denford for his kind hospitality during a stay at the University of Regina.

REFERENCES

1. M.V. Berry, Proc R. Soc. London A 392 (1984) 47.

2. Y.Q. Cai and G. Papini, Mod. Phys. Lett 4 (1989) 1143; Gen. Rel. and Gravit. 22 (1990) 259.

3. Y.Q. Cai and G. Papini, Class. Quant. Grav. 7 (1990) 269.

4. Y.Q. Cai and G. Papini, Quantum Mechanics in Curved Space-Time, Edited by J. Audretsch and V. de Sabbata (Plenum Press, New York, 1990), pp. 473-483.

5. Y.Q. Cai and G. Papini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 1259.

6. C.G. Callan, S. Coleman and R. Jackiw, Annals of Physics 87 (1974) 95.

7. A. Actor, Ann. Phys. 131, 269 (1981);
   G. Papini, N. Cim. 70 B (1992) 113.

8. The original idea to use de Sitter micro-universes to construct hadronic bags can be found in A. Salam and J. Strathdee, Phys. Rev. D 18 (1978) 4596.

9. N. Nakanishi and I. Ojima, Covariant Operator Formalism of Gauge Theories and Quantum Gravity (World Scientific Publishing, 1990).

10. E.R. Caianiello, Nuovo Cimento 41 (1984) 51; Riv. Nuovo Cimento 15, No. 4 (1992);
    G. Scarpetta, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 41 (1984) 51;
    E.R. Caianiello, G. Scarpetta and G. Marmo, Nuovo Cimento A 86 (1985) 337;
    E.R. Caianiello, A. Feoli, M. Gasperini and G. Scarpetta, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 29 (1990) 131.

11. G. Papini and W.R. Wood, Phys. Lett. A 170 (1992) 409; Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 3617; Found. Phys. Lett. 6 (1993) 409.

12. E.R. Caianiello, M. Gasperini, E. Predazzi and G. Scarpetta, Phys. Lett. A 132 (1988) 82.

13. A realistic test of the maximal acceleration principle using cavity resonators has been recently proposed by G. Papini, A. Feoli and G. Scarpetta, Phys. Lett. A 202 (1995) 50.